

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	0.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/733,895		12/10/2003		Cheng-Le Zhao	IN-9524	8613
54308	7590	10/26/2005			EXAM	INER
BASF AG CARL-BOSCH-STRASSE 38					CHEUNG, WILLIAM K	
6700 LUDWIGSHAFEN					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
THEINLAND-PFATZ D-67056, 67056 GERMANY			67056		1713	
					DATE MAILED: 10/26/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/733,895	ZHAO, CHENG-LE
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	William K. Cheung	1713
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roun.  eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	25 August 2005.	•
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.	
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for all	•	• •
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-14 is/are pending in th 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5, 7-14 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to othe drawing(s) be held in abeyar orrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	opplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	B) Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/733,895 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Request for Continued Examination

- 1. The request filed on August 25, 2005 for a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 10/733,895 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows. Claims 1-5, 7-14 are pending.
- 2. In view of amendment filed August 25, 2005, the rejection of Claims 1-4, 9, 11, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rokowski et al. (US 5,534,310) is withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/733,895

Art Unit: 1713

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 7-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rokowski et al. (US 5,534,310).

The invention of claims 1-5, 7-14 relates to a **method of making a composition** comprising reacting, in a reactor,

- a. a non-halogenated acetoacetate group containing monomer,
- b. at least one additional monomer, and
- c. a base,

wherein at least a portion of the base is fed to the reactor during reaction and less than 50% of the base is present in the reactor at the start of the reacting step, and wherein the base is added during the reacting step in an amount such that the pH is not higher than 7 and such that the aqueous polymer dispersion viscosity is lower than an aqueous polymer dispersion prepared in the same way but without the base added during the reacting step.

Application/Control Number: 10/733,895

Art Unit: 1713

Rokowski et al. (col. 12-13, example 3; col. 17, claim 1) disclose a method of making a composition comprising reacting in a reactor, a non-halogenated acetoacetate group containing monomer in the presence of at least one additional monomer, and a base. According to example 3 of Rokowski et al., the base was added into the reactor during the polymerization process.

The difference between the invention of claims 1-5, 7-14 and Rokowski et al. is that Rokowski et al. are silent on a process comprising specific conditions on how the base is added as claimed.

Although Rokowski et al. are silent on the specific conditions on how the base is added, applicants must recognize that the process as taught by Rokowski et al. (col. 2, line 5-19; col. 11, line 60 to col. 14, line 67) generically encompasses the specific conditions on how the base is added as claimed. Motivated by the expectation of success of preparing a latex binder suitable for producing high gloss coating on weathered substrates and a method for producing such coatings (abstract), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in art to perform routine engineering process to arrive the specific conditions as claimed.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed August 25, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that Rokowski et al. is silent on lowering the aqueous polymer dispersion viscosity. However, applicants must recognize that the lowering of the polymer dispersion viscosity is property that is inherent to the obviated steps in view of Rokowski et al. To overcome the rejection set forth, applicants should submit comparative data to show the criticality of the instant claimed specific conditions on how the base is added over the prior art of Rokowski et al.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William K Cheung whose telephone number is (571) 272-1097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00AM to 2:00PM; 4:00PM to 8:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David WU can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1713

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William K. Cheung

**Primary Examiner** 

October 20, 2005

WILLIAM K. CHELING